	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/688,950	DENG ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Martin Lerner	2654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commits IGHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. T	ГНIS nitiative
1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>22 September 2004</u> .			
2. 🔀 The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1 to 29</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on 16 October 2000 are accepted by the	e Examiner.		
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:</li> <li>Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM</li> </ul>	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been receive of this communication to file	on No ed in this national stage application from	
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5.   A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit	itted. Note the attached EX	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE C	)F
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	s reason(s) why the oath o	r declaration is deficient.	
<ol> <li>CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mus         <ul> <li>(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers</li> <li>1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date</li> <li>Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	son's Patent Drawing Review . s Amendment / Comment o .84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 Cl	r in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d).	
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I</li> </ol>	SIT OF BIOLOGICAL MAT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	ERIAL must be submitted. Note the DLOGICAL MATERIAL.	
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1.  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2.  Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3.  Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 28 June 2004</li> <li>4.  Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ul>	6.  Interview S Paper No. 7. Examiner's	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) fummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment  Statement of Reasons for Allowance	

Application/Control Number: 09/688,950

Art Unit: 2654

## **EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the limitations of independent claims 1, 11, and 17, wherein additive noise is first introduced and then reduced by an noise reduction technique during a training stage so as to produce pseudo-clean training data. The Specification, Pages 2 to 4, discloses that, by adding noise and then removing it during training, the invention produces training data that is more ideal because noise reduction during a testing stage does not remove all of the noise from a signal to be tested.

Cerisara et al. is the closest prior art of record, where speech models are trained under reference noise conditions and then noisy speech models of input speech under different noise conditions are transformed to adapt speech models to the different noise. However, although Cerisara et al. may introduce noise during a training stage, noise reduction is not performed on the noisy training data. Instead, speech models are adapted to different noise conditions.

Applicants' Specification distinguishes prior art noise reduction of testing data, and prior art speech model training where noisy speech models are produced.

Applicants' invention provides an advantage of producing speech models that better represent speech when noise is imperfectly removed during testing. Applicants' argument, Remarks, Pages 11 to 12, that adding noise to a signal and then removing it is not intuitive, and is different from the prior art where either a totally clean speech signal is used during training or a noisy training signal is used, is persuasive.

Art Unit: 2654

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin Lerner whose telephone number is (703) 308-9064. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (703) 305-9645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WE

ML 12/13/04 RICHEMOND DORVIL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER